

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,331	05/25/2000	,	Roger V. Beathard	062891.0406	7232
759		. •		EXAM	INER
Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue				KNOWLIN, THJUAN P	
Dallas, TX 75201-2980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2642	9
				DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 15	terdies No	A line and a					
•		ication No.	Applicant(s)					
		79,331	BEATHARD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		niner	Art Unit					
		n P Knowlin	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears o	n the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provice after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than this of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuter of the thing of the period for the second period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. rty (30) days, a reply within th m statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause th oths after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>31 Decemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .								
3) Since this application is in condit								
closed in accordance with the pr	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-46 and 48-</u>	51 is/are pending in t	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-46 and 48-</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-46 and 48-51</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. a) All b) Some * c) None of the prious of the prious. Certified copies of the prious. Copies of the certified copies of the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office a	f: rity documents have rity documents have es of the priority doc ational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6 and 7</u> .	a 01 L 10/20/00)	6) Other:						

"Application/Control Number: 09/579,331

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-46, and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shenoda et al (US 6,389,130).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 12, 13, 25, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 43, and 44, Shenoda discloses a method and call manager for call routing, comprising: receiving a call request at a first call manager (multi-purpose switch 620) from a first telephony device (telephone 600) coupled to a packet-based network (ATM network 640), the call request including a telephone number associated with a second telephony device (telephone 670); accessing a route list (global routing tables 412, system routing tables 414, and management routing tables 440) associated with the telephone number to determine a port of a gateway device operable to transmit the call request to the second telephony device (col. 6 lines 39-46), wherein the route list comprises one or more route groups, each route group including a list of one or more ports of one or more gateway devices (col. 6 lines 39-63; and communicating the call request to a second call manager (multi-purpose switch 650) controlling the gateway device included in the route list (col. 10 lines 11-28 and col. 10 lines 52-58).
- 4. In regards to claims 2 and 14, Shenoda discloses the method and call manager, wherein: the packet-based network comprises an Internet Protocol (IP) network

(Internet backbone 200); the first telephony device comprises an IP telephony device (telephone 226); and the second telephony device comprises a non-IP telephony device (telephone 252) (Fig. 2 and col. 4 lines 26-52).

Page 3

- 5. In regards to claims 3, 4, 11, 15, 16, 19, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 45, and 46, Shenoda discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: accessing a registration information table to determine a process identification of a route list control process executed by the first call manager and associated with the telephone number; and communicating the call request to the route list control process using the identification, the route list control process operable to access the route list (col. 5 lines 32-38, col. 5 lines 51-63, and col. 6 lines 39-52).
- 6. In regards to claims 6, 7,18, 23, 24, 37, 42, and 48, Shenoda discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: communicating the device name of the gateway device to a device manager executed by the first call manager; and accessing a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a identification of a first device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the gateway device (col. 9-10 lines 66-28).
- 7. In regards to claims 8, 9, 20, and 49, Shenoda discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: communicating the call request and the port number from the first device process to the gateway device; receiving a call proceed signal from the gateway device indicating acceptance of the call request; and communicating the call proceed signal from the second call manager to the first call manager (col. 10-11 lines 52-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/579,331 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

8. In regards to claims 10, 21, 22, 26, 41, 50, and 51, Shenoda discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: communicating the call request and the port number from the first device process to the gateway device; receiving a call denial signal from the gateway device indicating a denial of the call request; and communicating the call denial signal from the second call manager to the first call manager (col. 2 lines 39-58).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 12/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants state that Shenoda et al does not disclose "accessing a route list associated with the telephone number to determine a port or a gateway device operable to transmit the call request to the second telephony device, wherein the route list comprises one or more route groups, each route group including a list of one or more ports of one or more gateway devices." Applicants further argue that the limitation of "accessing a registration information table to determine a process identification (PID) of a route list control process", is not taught by Shenoda et al. Applicants also argue that Shenoda et al fail to disclose a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a first device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the gateway device, nor is there a signal indicating that a second call manager has gone-off line.
- 10. Examiner respectfully disagrees with these arguments. Shenoda et al does disclose "accessing a route list (global routing tables 412, system routing tables 414,

Application/Control Number: 09/579,331

Art Unit: 2642

331 Page 5

and management routing tables 440) associated with the telephone number to determine a port or a gateway device operable to transmit the call request to the second telephony device (telephone 670 and col. 6 lines 39-46), wherein the route list comprises one or more route groups, each route group including a list of one or more ports of one or more gateway devices (col. 6 line 39-63)." Shenoda et al also disclose "accessing a registration information table to determine a process identification of a route list control process (col. 5 lines 32-38, col. 5 lines 51-63, and col. 6 lines 39-52). A device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a first device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the gateway device, is disclosed by Shenoda et al (col. 9-10 lines 66-28). Shenoda et al also use a signal indicating that a second call manager has gone-off line (col. 2 lines 39-58).

Conclusion

- 11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 12. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P Knowlin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30pm.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (703)305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin July 12, 2004 AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600